

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
8

9 CHARLES BENJAMIN FOWLER,

CASE NO. 06 CV 2551 JM (POR)

10 vs. Petitioner,

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT
AND RECOMMENDATION**

11 MIKE EVANS, Warden, et al.,

12 Respondent.

13 Petitioner, proceeding pro se, filed a § 2254 habeas petition on November 15, 2006. He
14 challenges his December 1, 2003 conviction on ten counts of robbery and one count of attempted
15 robbery. On September 21, 2007, Magistrate Judge Louisa S. Porter issued a Report and
16 Recommendation (“R&R”) recommending that the court deny the § 2254 petition and dismiss the
17 action with prejudice. Petitioner filed timely objections to which Respondent did not reply.

18 In his objections, Petitioner merely states that he objects to the R&R. He raises no arguments
19 and offers no reasoned basis to decline to adopt the R&R. Having carefully considered the thorough
20 and thoughtful R&R, the record before the court, the absence of any specific or substantive objections
21 to the R&R, and applicable authorities, the court adopts the R&R in its entirety. The petition for writ
22 of habeas corpus is therefore **DENIED** and the action is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24 **DATED: January 2, 2008**


25 **Hon. Jeffrey T. Miller**
26 **United States District Judge**

27 cc: All parties
28